	Case 1:20-cv-00618-NONE-SKO Docum	nent 16	Filed 11/03/20	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	ESMELING L. BAHENA,	Case N	No. 1:20-cv-00618	-SKO (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,			OMMENDATIONS	
13	v.	TO D	ISMISS DEFENI	DANTS	
14	D. ROHRDANZ, et al.,	14-DA	Y DEADLINE		
15	Defendants.	Clerk o	of the Court to assign	n a District Judge	
16					
17	On September 23, 2020, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint and found that it states				
18	cognizable claims of deliberate indifference to serious medical needs against Defendants Manhas				
19	and Rohrdanz but not against the remaining defendants. (Doc. 11.) The Court, therefore, directed				
20	Plaintiff to file a first amended complaint curing the deficiencies in his pleading, or to notify the				
21	Court that he wishes to proceed only on the claims found cognizable and to dismiss the remaining				
22	claims and defendants. (Id. at 1, 8.)				
23	On October 29, 2020, Plaintiff filed a notice that he wishes to proceed only on the claims				
24	the "court finds cognizable." (Doc. 14.) Accordingly, and for the reasons set forth in the Court's				
25	screening order (Doc. 11), the Court RECOMMENDS that Defendants Palma and Teresiah, and				
26	the claims against them, be DISMISSED. Plaintiff's claims of deliberate indifference against				
27	Defendants Manhas and Rohrdanz should be allowed to proceed. The Court DIRECTS the Clerk				
28	of the Court to assign a district judge to this a	action.			
	1				

Case 1:20-cv-00618-NONE-SKO Document 16 Filed 11/03/20 Page 2 of 2

1	These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District				
2	Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within 14 days of				
3	the date of service of these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections				
4	with the Court. The document should be captioned, "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings				
5	and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may result in waiver				
6	of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v.				
7	Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).				
8					
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
10	Dated: November 2, 2020	s Sheila K. Oberto			
11		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					